

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATÌON NO.
10/620,881	07/16/2003	Davorin David Hrovat	FGT 1685 PA 9092	
7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
JUSTIN H. PURCELL			GIBSON, ERIC M	
ARTZ & ART SUITE 250	Z, P.C.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28333 TELEG	RAPH ROAD		3661	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

O EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI mmunication, even if timely filed on-final.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
e cover sheet with the cover s	3661 Sorrespondence address S) FROM The property filed as will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). The property files any reduce any as to the merits is
e cover sheet with the composition of the cover sheet with the cover of the cover o	sorrespondence address S) FROM The symbol of the symbo
O EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ent, however, may a reply be time utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI mmunication, even if timely filed on-final. for formal matters, pro- eayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
ent, however, may a reply be timulatory minimum of thirty (30) days all expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI mmunication, even if timely filed on-final. for formal matters, prograyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any
for formal matters, pro <i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
for formal matters, pro <i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
for formal matters, pro <i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
nsideration.	·
nsideration.	
equirement.	
• • • •	
n received in Application ents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	
	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) n received. n received in Applicationts have been received e 17.2(a)). fied copies not received 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 1. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 25 contains information contained in parenthesis at lines 7-9. This renders the claim indefinite because it is not known whether or not the parenthetical information is intended to be a further limitation included in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 15, 21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aga et al. (US2002/0087235A1).
- a. Per claim 1, Aga teaches a method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including generating a reference roll angle, generating a roll rate sensor signal, comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal, and generating a

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

sensor fault signal in response to comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal (page 6, [0078]).

- b. Per claim 15, Aga teaches shutting down the safety system in response to a roll rate sensor fault (pages 3-4, [0052]).
- c. Per claim 21, Aga teaches a control system including a sensor cluster (21-24, figure 1), a roll rate sensor (22, figure 1), and a controller adapted to receive the roll rate sensor signal and generate a reference roll angle, compare the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal, and generate a sensor fault signal (page 6, [0078]).
- d. Per claim 24, Aga teaches shutting down the safety system in response to a roll rate sensor fault (pages 3-4, [0052]).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 17-20 and 26-28 are allowed.
- a. Per independent claim 17, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including compensating the roll rate sensor signal for all valid signal biases and comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal through a kinematics relation and a dynamic interaction related by a vehicle suspension as claimed.
- b. Claims 18-20 serve to further define the invention of claim 17 over the prior art.
- c. Per independent claim 26, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a vehicle-dynamic sensor fault

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881

Art Unit: 3661

including compensating for a valid signal bias in the sensor by adjusting a mechanical long term sensor alignment angle with a minute adjustment at each sampling time during a vehicle operation as claimed.

- d. Claims 27 and 28 serve to further define the invention of claim 26 over the prior art.
- 4. Claims 2-14, 16, 22, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- a. Per claim 2, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including compensating the roll rate sensor signal for all valid signal biases as claimed.
- b. Claims 3-5 would serve to further define the invention of claim 2 over the prior art.
- c. Per claim 6, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein generating the reference roll angle further includes sensing at least one of lateral acceleration, yaw rate, vehicle longitudinal speed, vehicle roll angle, wheel speed, or a GPS as claimed.
- d. Per claim 7, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including refining the reference roll angle through steering wheel angle information to reduce a negligence error of a lateral velocity derivative as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

e. Per claim 8, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including refining the reference roll angle with calculation of a dynamic relation between vehicle lateral acceleration and a suspension roll motion as claimed.

- f. Per claim 9, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein comparing the reference angle to the roll rate sensor signal includes comparing low pass filter version of a derivative of the reference angle with the roll rate sensor signal as claimed.
- g. Per claim 10, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal includes comparing a high pass filtered reference roll angle with a high pass filtered version of an integration of the roll rate sensor signal as claimed.
- h. Per claim 11, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein comparing the reference angle to the rate sensor signal includes comparing the reference roll angle and the roll rate sensor signal through building a filter utilizing both a suspension dynamics and kinematics relationship between roll angle and roll rate as claimed.
- i. Per claim 12, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881 Page 6

Art Unit: 3661

comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal includes comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal through an observer that utilizes a kinematics relation and a dynamics relation as claimed.

- j. Per claim 13, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein comparing the reference roll angle to the roll rate sensor signal further includes utilizing generating a dynamic bias estimate with a logic having the vehicle roll rate signal averaging to zero over a long period of time as claimed.
- k. Per claim 14, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including wherein generating the roll rate sensor fault signal further includes generating a lateral acceleration signal, filtering the lateral acceleration signal, generating a filtered lateral acceleration signal, high pass filtering the roll rate sensor signal, generating a filtered roll rate sensor signal, and comparing the filtered lateral acceleration signal to the filtered roll rate sensor signal as claimed.
- I. Per claim 16, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the method for detecting a roll rate sensor fault including generating a substitute signal for the roll rate signal in response to roll rate sensor fault as claimed. Per claim 22, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the system including wherein the controller further adapted to compensate the roll rate sensor signal for all valid signal biases as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,881

Art Unit: 3661

- m. Per claim 23, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the system including wherein the controller is further adapted to refine the reference angle through steering wheel angle information as claimed.
- 5. Claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- a. Per claim 25, the prior art does not disclose or reasonably suggest in combination the system including wherein the controller is further adapted to generate a substitute roll rate signal from sensor signals from at least one of a lateral accelerometer, a longitudinal accelerometer, a vertical accelerometer, a yaw rate sensor, a pitch rate sensor, a wheel speed sensor, a steering angle sensor, or a steering angle position sensor as claimed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 306-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EMG